



Attorney Docket No. RA-5379(33012/315/101)

Issue Fee

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re application of CHRISTENSEN, BARBARA A. et al.

Application No: 09/904,912

Filing Date: 07/13/2001

Date Allowed: 11/07/2005

Art Unit: 2145

Examiner: Winder, Patrice L.

Customer: 27516

Title: OUTPUT AREA INTERPRETATION CONTROL

ISSUE FEE COVER LETTER

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Enclosed hereby please find the following documents comprising the payment of the Issue Fee for the above-mentioned application:

- Issue Fee Cover Letter
- Issue Fee Transmittal (PTOL-85) (in duplicate)
- Transmittal Sheet of Payment of Issue Fee (in duplicate)
- Statement of Reasons for Allowance
- "Fee Address" Indication Form
- Return Postcard

Respectfully submitted,

Charles A. Johnson
Reg. No. 20,852
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Unisys Corporation – MS 4773
P. O. Box 64942
St. Paul, MN 55164-0942
Phone: (651) 635-7702

December 28, 2005
Date

Certificate of Mailing under 31 CFR § 1.8. I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U. S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage for first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS ISSUE FEE, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

By: M. A. Hubbard
M. A. Hubbard

Date: 12/28/05



Attorney Docket No. RA- 5379(33012/315/101)

Issue Fee

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re application of CHRISTENSEN, BARBARA A. et. al

Application No.: 09/904,912

Art Unit: 2145

Filing Date: 07/13/2001

Examiner: Winder, Patrice L.

Date Allowed: 11/07/2005

Customer No.: 27516

Title: STANDARD CHANNEL I/O PROCESSOR (SCIOP)

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P O Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Examiner's statement of Reasons for Allowance implies that the claimed invention was allowed because the prior art did not disclose certain limitations found in the claims. The limitations characterized by the Examiner, however, if indeed found in the prior art, would not render the claimed invention invalid under 35 USC §102 because the claimed invention includes a number of limitations not addressed in the Reasons for Allowance. With respect to 35 USC §103, the rigors of establishing a *prima facie* case of obviousness include, not only a showing that the prior art teaches the entire claimed invention (all limitations are to be considered), but also that combining the various prior art references is suggested in the art or that there would be motivation to make the combination. Unless Applicant hears otherwise, the comments herein are as intended, clarifying in a manner consistent with the law.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles A. Johnson, Reg. No. 20,852
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Unisys Corporation, MS 4773
P. O. Box 64942
St. Paul, MN 55164-0942
Phone: (651) 635-7702

Date

Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8. I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U. S. Postal Service, on the date shown below with sufficient postage for first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS ISSUE FEE, Commissioner of Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

By

M. A. Hubbard
Date